UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT ORIGINAL

Pages 1 - 9

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable JON S. TIGAR, Judge

LAURI VALJAKKA,) Motion to Withdraw

Plaintiff,

vs.) NO. C 22-01490 JST

NETFLIX, INC.,

Defendant.) Oakland, California

) Thursday, February 29, 2024

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF ZOOM WEBINAR PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES VIA ZOOM WEBINAR:

For Plaintiff: Ramey LLP

5020 Montrose Boulevard, Suite 800

Houston, Texas 77006

BY: WILLIAM P. RAMEY, ATTORNEY AT LAW

For Defendant: Perkins Coie LLP

3150 Porter Drive

Palo Alto, California 94304

BY: SARAH PIEPMEIER, ATTORNEY AT LAW

(Appearances continued next page)

Reported By: Raynee H. Mercado, CSR No. 8258

Proceedings reported by electronic/mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

```
A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D.)
 1
 2
 3
       For Third Party
                              Whitestone Law
      AiPi, LLC:
                              1850 Towers Crescent Plaza
 4
                               Suite 550
                               Tysons, Virginia 22182
 5
                          BY: JOSEPH J. ZITO, ATTORNEY AT LAW
 6
 7
 8
                                  --000--
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
2:00 p.m.
 1
      Thursday, February 29, 2024
 2
                           PROCEEDINGS
 3
                               (Zoom Webinar)
 4
                                  --000--
 5
 6
               THE CLERK: Your Honor, now calling civil matter
      22-1490, Lauri Valjakka v. Netflix.
 7
 8
           If counsel could please state their appearances for the
 9
      record starting with counsel for plaintiff.
10
                THE COURT: Mr. Ramey, your microphone is muted.
                       (Pause in the proceedings.)
11
12
               THE COURT: Mr. Ramey, would you nod head if you're
13
      able to hear me?
14
           In the bottom left-hand corner of your screen is the image
15
      of a microphone, and immediately next to that image is a small
      caret, c-a-r-e-t, looks like an inverted "V." If you click on
16
17
      the caret, a menu will pop up that will allow you to unmute
18
      your microphone. It may also be that there is a red bar
19
      across the picture of the microphone, and if you just click on
20
      the microphone, it will unmute. One of those strategies is
      almost certain to be successful.
21
22
                        (Pause in the proceedings.)
23
               MR. RAMEY: There we are, Your Honor. Sorry about
24
      that. I was having bigger technical issues than that my
25
      computer lock on me. I apologize.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Yes, I can hear you just fine.
 2
      you.
 3
          Why don't you enter your appearance.
               MR. RAMEY: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. Ramey for the
 4
 5
      plaintiff Lauri Valjakka, and we're ready to proceed, Your
 6
      Honor.
 7
                THE COURT: Thank you. Other counsel.
 8
               MS. PIEPMEIER: Your Honor, good afternoon.
 9
       Piepmeier from Perkins Coie on behalf of defendant Netflix.
10
      And with me but not on camera is my client Asa Wynn-Grant.
11
           Thank you, Your Honor.
12
               MR. ZITO: This is Joseph Zito for nonparty AiPi.
13
                THE COURT: Welcome.
14
          Matter's on calendar this afternoon for Mr. Ramey's motion
15
      to withdraw. He bases that motion on two grounds. One is
16
      that he's having difficulty communicating with his client.
17
       The second is that he's not getting paid.
18
           This case has an interesting set of facts as it pertains
19
      to Mr. Ramey's representation because everyone agrees that the
20
       agreement that was reached was that a third party would pay
21
      Mr. Ramey's fees but the client would be Mr. Valjakka.
22
          And there are lots of interesting questions here about
23
      who's directing the litigation and so forth.
          But at the end of the day, Mr. Ramey's not being paid, and
24
```

I'm not aware of any case that would at this moment in a civil

25

1 case where the amount of proceedings under the CUVTA hasn't 2 even really been determined in which a court would compel a 3 lawyer in a civil case to keep representing his client without 4 being paid. 5 So the Court's indicated ruling would be to grant the 6 motion, but as Netflix requests, and as Mr. Ramey acknowledges 7 in his opposition, to maintain jurisdiction over Mr. Ramey for the purpose of the remaining proceedings in the case. 8 9 So that's the tentative. I thought you might want to know that before you decided 10 11 whether there's anything further you wanted to say. Mr. Ramey, is there argument you'd like to make? 12 13 MR. RAMEY: None, Your Honor. We're -- that's fine 14 with us. 15 THE COURT: Ms. Piepmeier. 16 MS. PIEPMEIER: Thank you, Your Honor. I'd like to -- Netflix will take whatever ruling Your 17 18 Honor deems appropriate here. I'd like to raise one 19 consideration for the Court to -- to think about. 20 And that is that the Court has indicated a potential 21 desire to send the parties to a mediation proceeding. And 22 Netflix stands ready to do that at the appropriate time. 23 We would suggest that that happen after resolution of the

24

25

joinder motion of AIPI and after any discovery that has been

sought from AIPI, whether that is as a party or third party,

be received.

But, Your Honor, we do think that it would be beneficial for all involved at any mediation that occurs to have counsel for Mr. Valjakka present. And I say that for two reasons. First of all, we conducted one mediation previously in the matter. And without breaching any mediation privilege, which I know I can't talk about the substance of the mediation, but I will say that having counsel present for Mr. Valjakka was a helpful portion of that proceeding.

And we believe because the fourth factor of this withdrawal test is whether this would delay resolution, that having Mr. Ramey or -- or counsel for Valjakka, if it's not Mr. Ramey, somebody else -- I can't think of who that would be -- but Mr. Ramey present for any mediation would be helpful.

One way to think about this is that that would not compel Mr. Ramey to spend significant resources if he's not being paid, all of that, et cetera, but may actually permit the parties to have a shot at resolution short of trial.

I take Your Honor's point that compelling a lawyer to represent a client when he's not being paid by whoever it is who's supposed to pay him all the way through trial is a steep ask, and we're completely cognizant of that.

I'm certainly cognizant of that as a lawyer who bills clients. But one thinking for consideration is whether the

parties could conduct a mediation that would benefit from presence from counsel.

And I also think that having that mediation -- the second point I would say is having that mediation with all of the interested parties at the table -- and that would include AIPI, counsel for Mr. Valjakka, Mr. Valjakka himself -- would be beneficial towards potential resolution.

So I throw that out there, Your Honor, as a consideration.

I -- this is not something that, you know, we believe is absolutely necessary. But it could be a partial compromise position that kind of bridges a gap there.

We agree, however, with the point about maintaining jurisdiction over Mr. Ramey and his firm at the time that he withdraws, whenever that is.

THE COURT: I'll think about it.

What you're asking is, I think, for me to order Mr. Ramey to represent Mr. Valjakka for free at a mediation so Netflix has a better chance of resolving the case in mediation.

That's the request.

Besides the problems that are apparent on the surface of what I just said, you've also indicated that you don't think a mediation could take place until some other intervening litigation events, during which presumably based on your request, Mr. Valjakka would be unrepresented.

So, you know, I'll think about it. But this is a messy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
situation. And in your mediation, Netflix presumably -- since
the only thing [sic] that are left I think at this point are
Netflix's affirmative claims -- Netflix wants money. That
would be the point of going to a mediation. And I have that
in mind, too.
         MS. PIEPMEIER: Thank you, Your Honor.
    May I respond briefly?
         THE COURT: Sure.
         MS. PIEPMEIER: Briefly, that -- money is a point of
mediation. It's not the only point. And I -- I apologize if
I suggested that the purpose of -- of Mr. Ramey representing
Mr. Valjakka would be to benefit Netflix at a mediation.
    I actually think it would benefit the eventual resolution
of this case to have a skilled lawyer present at the mediation
as opposed to just having a party directly present.
         THE COURT: Well, I take your point.
         MS. PIEPMEIER: That was my point.
         THE COURT: I take your point. And -- and the
benefit to Netflix would be indirect. There's no question.
    But Mr. Valjakka is not even here. He elected not to
appear at this proceeding. And we're talking about the
representation of his interests at a later event that hasn't
transpired yet, which would be preceded by some other
litigation event in which he would either have a direct or
```

indirect interest. And I don't know what he wants.

```
1
           So these are all things I would have to take into
 2
       consideration.
 3
           Anyway, thank you all for your comments. The motion's now
 4
       under submission.
 5
                 (Proceedings were concluded at 2:10 P.M.)
 6
                                   --000--
 7
 8
 9
                          CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
10
11
                I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
12
       from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
13
       I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to,
14
       nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this
15
       hearing was taken, and further that I am not financially nor
16
       otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
17
                          Rayne H. Merendo
18
19
               Raynee H. Mercado, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR
20
                            Monday, March 4, 2024
21
22
23
24
25
```